

Spatial distribution of *Diaphorina citri* Kuwayama (Hemiptera: Psyllidae) in citrus orchards

Marília Gregolin Costa¹; José Carlos Barbosa²; Pedro Takao Yamamoto^{3*}; Renata Moreira Leal⁴

¹UNESP/FCAV – Programa de Pós-Graduação em Entomologia Agrícola.

²UNESP/FCAV – Depto. de Ciências Exatas, Via de Acesso Prof. Paulo Donato Castellane, s/n – 14884-900 – Jaboticabal, SP – Brasil.

³USP/ESALQ – Depto. de Entomologia e Acarologia, C.P. 9 – 13418-900 – Piracicaba, SP – Brasil.

⁴UNESP/FCAV – Programa de Pós-Graduação em Produção Vegetal.

*Corresponding author <pedro.yamamoto@usp.br>

ABSTRACT: The psyllid *Diaphorina citri* Kuwayama is one of the most important pests of citrus, mainly because it is the vector of the bacterium that causes huanglongbing (HLB) or ‘Greening’ disease. To study the spatial distribution of nymphs and adults of this pest, an experiment was carried out in two ‘Valencia’ sweet orange orchards, four and 12 years of age, established in Matão, central area of São Paulo state, Brazil. The following dispersion indices were used to study pest aggregation in the citrus plants: variance/mean relationship (I), index of Morisita (I_m), coefficient of Green (Cx), the k exponent of negative binomial distribution, common k (k) and Taylor’s Power Law for each sampling. The negative binomial distribution was more representative of the spatial distribution of this psyllid, for both nymphs and adults. For most samplings, psyllid nymphs found in branches and adults caught in traps had an aggregated distribution.

Key words: *Citrus sinensis*, psyllid, probability distributions, negative binomial distribution

Distribuição espacial de *Diaphorina citri* Kuwayama (Hemiptera: Psyllidae) em pomares de citros

RESUMO: O psíldeo *Diaphorina citri* Kuwayama tornou-se nos últimos anos uma das mais importantes pragas na cultura de citros, principalmente pelos prejuízos causados às plantas por ser o transmissor da bactéria causadora da doença Huanglongbing (HLB) ou ‘Greening’. Com a finalidade de estudar a distribuição espacial de ninfas e adultos desta praga, instalaram-se experimentos em duas áreas de citros com histórico de ocorrência de HLB, no município de Matão (região central do Estado de São Paulo), em plantas de laranja ‘Valência’, com quatro e 12 anos de idade. Para estudo da agregação da população nas plantas, foram utilizados os seguintes índices de dispersão: razão variância/média (I), índice de Morisita (I_m), coeficiente de Green (Cx) e expoente k da distribuição binomial negativa, k comum (k) e lei da potência de Taylor para cada amostragem. A distribuição binomial negativa foi o modelo mais adequado para representar a distribuição espacial do psíldeo, tanto para ninfas como para adultos. Na maioria das amostragens, as ninfas encontradas nas brotações e os adultos capturados nas armadilhas apresentaram distribuição agregada.

Palavras-chave: *Citrus sinensis*, psíldeo, distribuições de probabilidade, distribuição binomial negativa

Introduction

The psyllid *Diaphorina citri* Kuwayama (Hemiptera: Psyllidae) is currently one of the most important pests of world citriculture. It was discovered in 1907 in Taiwan and is today distributed across several countries of South Asia, regions of the Middle East, the Southern region of the United States, and in Central America, the Caribbean, and South America (Halbert and Manjunath, 2004).

This insect has become greatly important because it is the vector of the bacteria that causes huanglongbing, that is restricted to the phloem vessels of plants (Gravena, 2005). The bacteria *Candidatus Liberibacter* spp. is transmitted by the psyllid *Trioza erytreae* (Del Guercio) and *D. citri*; the first is associated with the African form of the disease (*Candidatus Liberibacter*

africanus), while the second is associated with the Asian (*Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus*) (Capoor et al., 1967) and the American forms (*Candidatus Liberibacter americanus*); the latter is only found in Brazil (Coletta-Filho et al., 2004; Teixeira et al., 2005a, b). The psyllid *D. citri* can be found throughout the year, with population peaks in the spring and summer, the periods of greatest vegetative flushes in citrus plants (Gravena, 2005; Yamamoto et al., 2001).

Several insecticides are used to control *D. citri*, frequently in an intensive manner to try to stop the disease from spreading. Knowing the pest’s probability distribution is crucial because it allows the establishment of techniques for the statistical analysis of data, sampling, and for making decisions on the application of insecticides (Barbosa and Perecin, 1982). This investigation aimed to study the spatial distribution of *D. citri*

nymphs and adults in citrus, in order to provide support information for the rational control of this pest.

Material and Methods

The study was carried out in Matão, state of São Paulo, Brazil (21°36' S; 48°21' W), in two 'Valencia' sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis* (L.) Osbeck) plots, grafted on Rangpur Lime; one area had four year old plants (area 1 - stand 9A), while the other had 12 year old plants (area 2 - stand 99). Both received the management practices (fertilization, hoeing, and herbicide application) and phytosanitary measures (application of acaricides, insecticides, and fungicides) recommended for the crop.

To survey *D. citri* adults and nymphs, area 1 was divided into 88 sampling units or plots consisting of 21 plants each (seven rows \times three plants), while area 2 was divided into 84 plots containing 21 plants each (three rows \times seven plants). The spacing between the plants in the two experimental areas was 7 m among lines and 3 m among plants, totalizing 1,848 plants (3.9 ha) in area 1 and 1,764 (3.7 ha) in area 2. To evaluate the number of adults, double sided yellow sticky traps (Hall et al., 2007) were placed on the central plant of each plot at a height of approximately 1.5 m from the ground, and to nymphs, were evaluated by sampling a shoot (up to 10 cm in length) taken at random from the middle region of the central plant of each plot in both areas.

The study was carried out in the 2005/2006 and 2006/2007 cropping seasons. Nymph samplings were carried out two week periods during the period of greatest vegetative flush (December 2005 to April 2006 and November 2006 to March 2007). Adults were sampled during two weeks in the period of greatest emission of shoots (November through March) and one month in the rest of the period. Sprays with insecticides and acaricides/insecticides were applied in area 1 on 01/10/06 (abamectin), 09/12/06 (dimethoate), 12/19/06 (abamectin), 08/07/07 (thiamethoxam), and 11/28/07 (abamectin). In area 2, applications were made on 09/26/06 (dimethoate) and 01/12/07 (abamectin).

The data were analyzed by calculating the mean (\hat{m}) and variance (s^2) for all samplings, as well as the following dispersion indices:

Variance/mean relationship (I) - used to measure departure from a randomness arrangement, where values equal to one indicate a random spatial distribution; values smaller than one indicate a regular or uniform distribution; and values greater than one indicate an aggregate or contagious distribution according to Rabinovich (1980), and is given by:

$$I = \frac{s^2}{\hat{m}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{m})^2}{\hat{m}(n-1)},$$

where: s^2 = sampling variance; \hat{m} = sampling mean; x_i = number of psyllids found in the sampling units; n = number of sampling units.

Departure from randomness can be tested by the chi-square test with $n-1$ degrees of freedom, by means of the expression:

$$X^2 = I \cdot (n-1)$$

that is,

$$X^2 = \frac{s^2(n-1)}{\hat{m}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{m})^2}{\hat{m}} \sim \chi^2_{(n-1)}$$

Randomness is rejected when $X^2 \geq \chi^2_{(n-1)}$.

Index of Morisita (I_δ) - it indicates that a distribution is random when it is equal to 1, aggregate when it is higher than 1, and regular when it is smaller than 1. Morisita (1962) developed the following formula:

$$I_\delta = n \frac{\sum [x(x-1)]}{\sum x(\sum x-1)} = n \frac{\sum x^2 - \sum x}{(\sum x)^2 - \sum x}$$

where: n = number of sampling units; x = number of psyllids found in the sampling units; $\sum x$ = sum of individuals present in the sampling units.

Departure from randomness can be tested by:

$$X_\delta^2 = I_\delta (\sum x_i - 1) + n - \sum x_i \sim \chi^2_{(n-1)}$$

If $X_\delta^2 \geq \chi^2_{(n-1; \alpha; 0.05)}$, the hypothesis of a random distribution is rejected.

Coefficient of Green (C_x) - This index is acceptable for comparisons between contagious distributions, ranging from 0 for random distributions to 1 for maximum contagion (Green, 1966). It is based on the distribution's variance/mean ratio and is given by:

$$C_x = (s^2 / \hat{m}) - 1 / \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - 1$$

Departure from randomness can be tested by:

$$C_{x(1-\alpha)} = [\chi^2_{(1-\alpha)} / (n-1) - 1] / (n\hat{m} - 1)$$

Randomness is rejected when $C_x > C_{x(1-\alpha)}$ (Davis, 1993).

K exponent of negative binomial distribution (k) - This index should only be used when the data fit the negative binomial distribution. When k values are low and positive ($k < 2$) they indicate a highly aggregate arrangement; k values ranging from 2 to 8 indicate moderate aggregation; and values higher than 8 ($k > 8$) indicate a random arrangement (Elliott, 1979; Southwood, 1978). k values were calculated by the moments methods (Anscombe, 1949), given by:

$$\hat{k} = \hat{m}^2 / s^2 - \hat{m}$$

and later by the maximum likelihood method, since it is more accurate (Elliott, 1979). According to Bliss and Fisher (1953), this method consists in finding the \hat{k} value that balances both members of the equation:

$$N \ln \left(1 + \frac{\hat{m}}{\hat{k}} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{nc} \left(\frac{A(x_i)}{\hat{k} + x_i} \right)$$

where: N = number of sampling units; \ln = Napierian logarithm; \hat{m} = sampling mean; \hat{k} = k value estimate; x_i = number of psyllids found in sampling units; $A(x_i)$ = sum of frequencies of values higher than x_i ; nc = number of classes in the frequency distribution.

The estimation method is interactive, by trial and error, until equality is achieved between both members of the equation, with a previously-established margin of error. The calculation can be started from the k value obtained by the method of moments, thus allowing convergence to be achieved more quickly.

Estimation of the common exponent k (k_c) - To find a common value of k that represented most of the dates of sampling, we used the method proposed by Bliss & Owen (1958), known as method of weighted regression. For the calculation of k_c of nymphs and adults, the sampling of the two areas were analyzed together, and were excluded some samples with values that were very discrepant from the others, represented by null, very low or very high values of occurrence of the pest.

Taylor's power law - the relationship between the variance and the average was expressed through the power of the Taylor Law, amended by:

$$s^2 = a\hat{m}^b$$

The parameter b of Taylor's Law is an index of aggregation, and the population is aggregated when $b > 1$, random when $b = 1$ and uniform when $b < 1$ (Taylor, 1961).

Probabilistic models for studying the pest's spatial distribution - The data of each sampling in each area evaluated were tested to see if they fitted Poisson's distribution; the hypothesis is that all individuals have the same probability of occupying a given space and the presence of an individual does not affect the presence of another, with variance equal to the mean ($\sigma^2 = m$) according to Barbosa and Perecin (1982); or if they fitted the negative binomial distribution, where the occurrence of an individual limits the occurrence of neighboring individuals in the same unit, with variance higher than the mean ($\sigma^2 > m$) according to Perecin and Barbosa (1992).

The model fits the original data adequately when the observed and expected frequencies are in close proximity. Such proximity was tested by the chi-square test, given by:

$$X^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n_c} \frac{(FO_i - FE_i)^2}{FE_i}$$

where: FO_i = observed frequency in class i ; FE_i = Expected frequency in class i ; n_c = number of classes in the frequency distribution.

The number of degrees of freedom in the χ^2 test is given by:

$$d.f. = n_c - n_p - 1.$$

where: n_p = number of parameters estimated in the sample.

The test criterion adopted was that the fitting of the distribution under study should be rejected at the $\alpha\%$ probability level if:

$$X^2 \geq \chi^2_{(nc - np - 1; \alpha)}$$

Results and Discussion

The dispersion index results for numbers of *D. citri* nymphs found in shoots indicate that, in area 1 (younger plants), the mean numbers of nymphs found were much higher than in area 2 (older plants), with population peaks in January 2006 for both. The average numbers of nymphs in areas 1 and 2 were 5.01 and 0.87, respectively. In the following year, infestation was not as high, but in the summer months the nymphal population was higher in area 1, with means ranging from 0.20 in January to 0.12 in March 2007, while in area 2 means ranged from 0.06 in January to 0.01 in March (Table 1). Such presence of nymphs in those months is justified by the greater numbers of shoots, which are preferred for oviposition, together with weather conditions favorable for insect development (Gallo et al., 2002). The nymphal population difference between areas 1 and 2 was also due to greater emission of shoots in the young orchard, which made it more attractive to the vector. In general, branch vigor and number of shoots are much higher in younger orchards than in older ones.

The values obtained for the variance/mean ratio (I) were greater than one in almost all samplings in both areas, with the exception of those sampled on 03/28/06 in area 1 and on 12/21/06 in area 2, showing that nymph distribution was aggregate in both areas. The values obtained for Morisita's index (I_d) also indicated nymphal aggregation, since all samplings in area 1 and almost all samplings in area 2 had values higher than one (Table 1).

Green's coefficient (C_x) analysis showed that the values found in almost all samplings in both areas were higher than zero, indicating, according to Davis (1993), that the population had an aggregate distribution. This type of distribution was confirmed by the parameter k values of the negative binomial distribution by the maximum likelihood method, which had positive, smaller than 2 values in all nymph evaluation dates, indicating high aggregation, according to Elliott (1979) (Table 1).

Regarding *D. citri* adults, the infestation in area 1 was much higher than in area 2. The first population peak in area 1 occurred in March and April 2006, with average numbers of 1.92, 3.76, and 6.42 adults found in the traps; a new peak occurred again in November 2007, with 4.06 and 5.25 psyllids, on average. In area 2, however, infestations were high in the first months evaluated, with

Table 1 – Means, variances, and dispersion indices for the mean numbers of *D. citri* nymphs found in citrus shoots. Matão – SP, Brazil 2005/2006/2007.

Areas	Index	12/12/05	12/27/05	01/11/06	01/24/06	02/08/06	02/21/06	03/14/06	03/28/06	04/18/06	11/23/06	12/06/06	12/21/06	01/09/07	01/24/07	02/28/07	03/13/07	03/27/07
Area 1	\bar{m}	2.3182	2.4091	0.9091	5.0114	0.1364	0.6364	0.2045	0.0114	0.8977	0.0000	0.1705	0.0000	0.2045	0.3068	0.3409	0.2273	0.1250
	s^2	17.0700	19.9227	5.6928	61.5286	0.2341	2.5099	0.7623	0.0114	9.3572		0.7407	0.2565	0.9737	1.2388	0.7064	0.2486	
	$I = s^2 / \bar{m}$	7.3635	8.2698	6.2621	12.2778	1.7165	3.9442	3.7267	1.0000	10.4233		4.3456	1.2542	3.1737	3.6337	3.1080	1.9885	
	I_δ	3.7272	3.9975	6.7949	3.2299	6.6667	5.6571	14.9542		11.5105		21.7905	2.3007	8.2735	8.9011	10.6526	9.6000	
	$\chi^2 I$ and I_δ	640.62**	719.47**	544.80**	1068.17**	149.33**	343.14**	324.22**		906.82**		378.06**	109.11 ^{NS}	276.11**	316.13**	270.40**	173.00**	
	Cx	0.0313**	0.0345**	0.0666**	0.0256	0.0651**	0.0535**	0.1603**		0.1208**		0.2389**	0.0149 ^{NS}	0.0836**	0.0908**	0.1109**	0.0988**	
	k mmt	0.3643	0.3314	0.1728	0.4444	-	0.2161	-		0.0953		-	0.8048	0.1412	0.1294	0.1078	-	
	k max lklhd	0.2137	0.2494	0.1037	0.1747	-	0.1645	-		0.0330		-	0.6061	0.1328	0.1181	0.0631	-	
	Dates																	
	Index	12/12/05	12/27/05	01/11/06	01/24/06	02/08/06	02/21/06	03/14/06	03/28/06	04/18/06	11/23/06	12/06/06	12/21/06	01/09/07	01/24/07	02/28/07	03/13/07	03/27/07
Area 2	\bar{m}	0.0000	0.0000	0.2500	0.8690	0.4167	0.2262	0.0595	0.0238	0.0595	0.0000	0.0238	0.0238	0.0595	0.0595	0.2738	0.0238	0.0119
	s^2			0.8886	7.9465	5.0894	0.7314	0.1530	0.0476	0.1048		0.0476	0.0235	0.0808	0.0808	0.7073	0.0476	0.0119
	$I = s^2 / \bar{m}$			3.5542	9.1439	12.2145	3.2334	2.5711	2.0000	1.7614		2.0000	0.9880	1.3566	1.3566	2.5830	2.0000	1.0000
	I_δ			23.3171	10.3881	28.3765	23.4566	26.4444	84.0000	16.8000		84.0000	0.0000	8.4000	8.4000	6.9723	84.0000	
	$\chi^2 I$ and I_δ			998.00**	758.94**	1013.80**	914.89**	313.00**	166.00**	146.20**		166.00**	82.00 ^{NS}	112.60*	112.60*	214.39**	166.00**	
	Cx			0.0623**	0.1131**	0.3298**	0.0603**	0.1746**	1.0000**	0.1903**		1.0000**	-0.0120	0.0891*	0.0891*	0.0719**	1.0000**	
	k mmt			-	0.1067	0.0372	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	
	k max lklhd			-	0.0567	0.0505	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Dates																	
	Index	12/12/05	12/27/05	01/11/06	01/24/06	02/08/06	02/21/06	03/14/06	03/28/06	04/18/06	11/23/06	12/06/06	12/21/06	01/09/07	01/24/07	02/28/07	03/13/07	03/27/07

\bar{m} = sample mean; s^2 = sample variance; I = variance/mean relationship; I_δ = index of Morisita; $\chi^2 I$ and I_δ = randomness deviations test; Cx = coefficient of Green; k mmt = k by the moments method; k max lklhd. = k by the maximum likelihood method; *Significant at 5% probability; **Significant at 1% probability; ^{NS} Non-significant at 5% probability;

a tall peak in February 2006, with an average of 5.59 and 6.01 adults in the traps evaluated; the population then decreased and almost no oscillations occurred from May 2006 (mean of 1.13 adult) until the end of the experiment, in October 2007 (mean of 0.13 adult) (Table 2).

For adults collected in area 1, with the exception of two samplings, all other 32 had variance/mean index (I) and Morisita's index (I_δ) values higher than one, indicating that the adult population of psyllids had an aggregate distribution. In area 2, most samplings had values higher than one for those indices (Table 2).

Green's coefficient (Cx) values were positive for adult psyllids in most samplings in area 1 and in more than one half of the samplings in area 2. As to the k parameter of the negative binomial distribution, the values obtained by the maximum likelihood method ranged from 0.34 to 2.12 in area 1, and from 0.76 to 2.06 in area 2. In area 1, where values were lower, there was stronger aggregation, since maximum contagion occurs when the value tends to zero (Elliot, 1979). The higher I and I_δ values also indicate greater aggregation of adults in area 1, with 4 year old plants (Table 2).

Several authors have reported aggregate distributions of insect pests in some crops, such as *Selenaspidus articulatus* (Morgan) (Perruso and Cassino, 1997), *Dilobopterus costalimai* Young (Maruyama et al., 2002), and *Orthezia praelonga* Douglas (Costa et al., 2006) in citrus, and small *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) caterpillars in corn (Farias et al., 2001). Initially, data were tested to observe whether they fitted Poisson's distribution; later, since the means were smaller than the variances in most *D. citri* nymph and adult samplings, we tested the data to see if they fitted the negative binomial distribution.

For nymphs, in all evaluations where the degree of freedom was sufficient for analysis, chi-square test values were significant at 1% or 5% probability when fitting Poisson's distribution in both areas, demonstrating that their distribution is not random. When we attempted to fit the data to the negative binomial distribution, the values were non-significant in 80% of the samplings in area 1 and in 100% of the samplings in area 2, thus confirming that the spatial distribution of *D. citri* nymphs was aggregated (Tables 3 and 4).

Table 2 – Means, variances, and dispersion indices for the mean numbers of *D. citri* adults collected with traps in citrus orchards. Matão – SP, Brazil 2005/2006/2007.

Areas	Index	12/12/05	12/27/05	01/11/06	01/24/06	02/08/06	02/21/06	03/14/06	03/28/06	04/18/06	05/18/06	06/20/06	07/25/06	08/16/06	09/13/06	10/10/06	11/09/06	11/23/06
Area 1	\hat{m}	1.7273	2.5568	2.0455	1.3523	1.3182	0.7841	1.9205	3.7614	6.4205	1.5227	1.2841	1.0455	1.6250	0.0341	0.0909	0.1023	0.1136
	s^2	3.5569	9.2151	5.3772	5.0124	2.9551	1.9184	10.8557	13.2412	27.5798	8.0455	6.2747	3.5611	8.6279	0.0563	0.1066	0.1159	0.1019
	$I = s^2 / \hat{m}$	2.0593	3.6041	2.6289	3.7067	2.2418	2.4466	5.6527	3.5203	4.2956	5.2836	4.8865	3.4063	5.3095	1.6513	1.1724	1.1328	0.8966
	I_b	1.6103	2.0114	1.7917	2.9956	1.9394	2.8508	3.4094	1.6645	1.5084	3.8020	4.0190	3.3005	3.6403	29.3333	3.1429	2.4444	0.0000
	X^2I and I_b	179.15**	313.56**	228.71**	322.47**	195.03**	212.85**	491.78**	306.26**	373.718**	459.67**	425.12**	296.34**	461.92**	143.66**	102.00 ^{NS}	98.55 ^{NS}	78.00 ^{NS}
	Cx	0.0070**	0.0116**	0.0090**	0.0229**	0.0107**	0.0212**	0.0276**	0.0076**	0.0058**	0.0322**	0.0347**	0.0264**	0.0303**	0.3256**	0.0246 ^{NS}	0.0166 ^{NS}	-0.0115
	k mmt	1.6306	0.9818	1.2558	0.4996	1.0615	0.5420	0.4128	1.4924	1.9482	0.3555	0.3304	0.4345	0.3771	-	-	-	-
	k max likelihood	2.0556	1.4661	1.2095	0.6267	1.1372	0.6424	0.6128	1.8030	1.9266	0.4895	0.7801	0.3423	0.5367	-	-	-	-
	Dates																	
	Index	12/06/06	12/21/06	01/09/07	01/24/07	02/13/07	02/28/07	03/13/07	03/27/07	04/17/07	05/22/07	06/19/07	07/18/07	08/20/07	09/21/07	10/31/07	11/14/07	11/28/07
Area 2	\hat{m}	0.7841	1.1364	0.0909	0.0568	0.1932	0.2273	0.1136	0.1818	0.1932	0.6364	0.5909	0.2727	1.0682	2.2386	1.1364	4.0568	5.2500
	s^2	1.5505	2.1421	0.1066	0.0542	0.6634	0.2466	0.1479	0.4033	0.6864	0.8548	0.7732	0.3386	2.6390	5.4711	2.7858	21.8933	24.0057
	$I = s^2 / \hat{m}$	1.9775	1.8851	1.1724	0.9540	3.4341	1.0851	1.3011	2.2184	3.5531	1.3432	1.3086	1.2414	2.4705	2.4440	2.4515	5.3967	4.5725
	I_b	2.2506	1.7778	3.1429	0.0000	14.2353	1.3895	3.9111	8.0667	14.8824	1.5429	1.5264	1.9130	2.3757	1.6409	2.2756	2.0745	1.6742
	X^2I and I_b	172.04**	164.00**	102.00 ^{NS}	83.00 ^{NS}	298.76**	94.40 ^{NS}	113.20*	193.00**	309.11**	116.85*	113.84*	108.00 ^{NS}	214.93**	212.62**	213.28**	469.50**	397.80**
	Cx	0.0143**	0.0089**	0.0246 ^{NS}	-0.0115	0.1521**	0.0044 ^{NS}	0.0334*	0.0812**	0.1595**	0.0062*	0.0104 ^{NS}	0.0158**	0.0073**	0.0146**	0.0123**	0.0123**	0.0077**
	k mmt	0.8021	1.2839	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8543	1.9150	-	0.7264	1.5503	0.7829	0.9227	1.4695
	k max likelihood	0.8600	1.2583	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.1216	1.8163	-	0.5484	1.1271	0.8377	0.9080	0.9080
	Dates																	
	Index	12/12/05	12/27/05	1/11/06	1/24/06	2/08/06	2/21/06	3/14/06	3/28/06	4/18/06	5/18/06	06/20/06	7/25/06	8/16/06	9/13/06	10/10/06	11/09/06	11/23/06
Area 3	\hat{m}	1.6786	1.0476	1.3452	4.6905	5.5952	6.0119	3.6429	1.0000	1.1310	0.1190	0.4524	0.0476	0.0238	0.0833	0.0595	0.0595	0.0833
	s^2	6.4617	2.7447	4.0601	18.7223	32.7017	16.2288	11.0034	1.5422	1.9706	0.1543	0.6604	0.0459	0.0235	0.1014	0.0808	0.0567	0.1496
	$I = s^2 / \hat{m}$	3.8495	2.6199	3.0181	3.9916	5.8446	2.6994	3.0206	1.5422	1.7424	1.2964	1.4597	0.9639	0.9880	1.2169	1.3566	0.9518	1.7952
	I_b	2.6894	2.5455	2.4956	1.6318	1.8574	1.2799	1.5499	1.5422	1.6555	3.7333	2.0313	0.0000	0.0000	4.0000	8.4000	0.0000	12.0000
	X^2I and I_b	319.51**	217.45**	250.50**	331.29**	485.09**	224.05**	250.70**	128.00**	144.62**	107.60*	121.15**	80.00 ^{NS}	82.00 ^{NS}	101.00 ^{NS}	112.60*	79.00 ^{NS}	149.00**
	Cx	0.0203**	0.0186**	0.0180**	0.0076**	0.0103**	0.0033**	0.0066**	0.0065**	0.0078**	0.0329*	0.0124**	-0.0120	-0.0120	0.0361 ^{NS}	0.0891*	-0.0120	0.1325**
	k mmt	0.5891	0.6467	0.6666	1.5679	1.1550	3.5376	1.8029	1.8444	1.5233	-	0.9840	-	-	-	-	-	-
	k max likelihood	1.1358	0.7648	0.8021	1.7919	1.1474	3.7921	2.0636	1.6827	1.5606	-	0.8070	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Dates																	
	Index	12/06/06	12/21/06	1/09/07	1/24/07	2/13/07	2/28/07	3/13/07	3/27/07	4/17/07	5/22/07	6/19/07	7/18/07	8/20/07	9/21/07	10/31/07		
Area 4	\hat{m}	0.1190	0.2024	0.0119	0.1548	0.1667	0.1190	0.2381	0.2500	0.1310	0.0238	0.0357	0.0000	0.0238	0.0595	0.1310		
	s^2	0.1302	0.2598	0.0119	0.1324	0.1647	0.1061	0.2318	0.3343	0.1634	0.0235	0.0349		0.0235	0.0808	0.1634		
	$I = s^2 / \hat{m}$	1.0940	1.2835	1.0000	0.8554	0.9880	0.8916	0.9735	1.3373	1.2475	0.9880	0.9759		0.9880	1.3566	1.2475		
	I_b	1.8667	2.4706		0.0000	0.9231	0.0000	0.8842	2.4000	3.0545	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	8.4000	3.0545		
	X^2I and I_b	90.80 ^{NS}	106.52*		71.00 ^{NS}	82.00 ^{NS}	74.00 ^{NS}	80.80 ^{NS}	111.00*	103.54 ^{NS}	82.00 ^{NS}	81.00 ^{NS}		82.00 ^{NS}	112.60*	103.55 ^{NS}		
	Cx	0.0104 ^{NS}	0.0177*		-0.0120	-0.0009	-0.0120	-0.0014	0.0168*	0.0247 ^{NS}	-0.0120	-0.0120		-0.0120	0.0891*	0.0247 ^{NS}		
	k mmt	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		
	k max likelihood	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		
	Dates																	
	Index	12/06/06	12/21/06	1/09/07	1/24/07	2/13/07	2/28/07	3/13/07	3/27/07	4/17/07	5/22/07	6/19/07	7/18/07	8/20/07	9/21/07	10/31/07		

\hat{m} = sample mean; s^2 = sample variance; I = variance/mean relationship; I_b = index of Morisita; X^2I and I_b = randomness deviations test; Cx = coefficient of Green; k mmt = k by the moments method; k max likelihood = k by the maximum likelihood method; *Significant at 5% probability; **Significant at 1% probability; ^{NS}Non-significant at 5% probability.

By studying the fitting of adult data to Poisson's distribution, the chi-square test values were significant at 1% or 5% probability in 78% of the samplings in area 1 and 85% in area 2, indicating that their distribution was not random. As to the negative binomial distribution, 95% of the samplings fitted the distribution in area 1 and 100% of the samplings fitted the distribution in area 2 (Tables 5 and 6).

The younger the plant, the most frequent and intense its vegetative flushes, especially in periods of high moisture and high temperature; consequently, such plants become more attractive for adult feeding

and oviposition. As the test of adhesion of the observed frequencies to the expected number of nymphs and adults of *D. citri* showed a good fit to the negative binomial distribution, the option was to set this type of distribution with a common k (k_c) which represented the majority of samples. The chi-square values of k_c were significant in both cases and in the analysis of variance, the F test for inclination ($1/k$) was significant for nymphs and adults and not significant for intersection, which met the necessary conditions for the obtaining of a common k according to Bliss and Owen (1958) (Table 7).

Table 3 – Results obtained by the chi-square test to fit Poisson and negative binomial distributions to data on numbers of *D. citri* nymphs found in citrus shoots in area 1 (four years). Matão – SP, Brazil 2005/2006/2007.

Date	Area 1					
	Poisson			Negative binomial		
	X^2	d.f.	p	X^2	d.f.	p
12/12/05	327.98**	5	< 0.0001	16.27*	7	0.0228
12/27/05	339.72**	6	< 0.0001	9.45 ^{NS}	8	0.3057
01/11/06	119.09**	3	< 0.0001	5.33 ^{NS}	4	0.2547
01/24/06	1073.63**	9	< 0.0001	20.05*	8	0.0101
02/21/06	36.83**	2	< 0.0001	4.31 ^{NS}	3	0.2293
03/14/06	12.27**	1	0.0004	IDF	IDF	IDF
04/18/06	128.02**	3	< 0.0001	3.38 ^{NS}	1	0.0659
12/06/06	18.92**	1	< 0.0001	IDF	IDF	IDF
01/09/07	5.11*	1	0.0237	2.08 ^{NS}	7	0.9552
01/24/07	9.48**	1	0.0021	2.42 ^{NS}	2	0.2979
02/28/07	15.56**	1	< 0.0001	2.28 ^{NS}	2	0.3187
03/13/07	16.63**	1	< 0.0001	1.39 ^{NS}	1	0.2381

X^2 = Chi-square statistic; d.f. = number of degrees of freedom in the chi-square test; p = probability level of the chi-square test; *Significant at 5% probability; **Significant at 1% probability; ^{NS} Non-significant at 5% probability; IDF = insufficient degrees of freedom.

Table 4 – Results obtained by the chi-square test to fit Poisson and negative binomial distributions to data on numbers of *D. citri* nymphs found in citrus shoots in area 2 (12 years). Matão – SP, Brazil 2005/2006/2007.

Date	Area 2					
	Poisson			Negative binomial		
	X^2	d.f.	p	X^2	d.f.	p
01/11/06	22.86**	1	< 0.0001	IDF	IDF	IDF
01/24/06	127.67**	3	< 0.0001	5.78 ^{NS}	2	0.0553
02/08/06	22.77**	1	< 0.0001	0.45 ^{NS}	1	0.4995
02/21/06	20.01**	1	< 0.0001	IDF	IDF	IDF
02/28/07	9.46**	1	0.0021	IDF	IDF	IDF

X^2 = Chi-square statistic; d.f. = number of degrees of freedom in the chi-square test; p = probability level of the chi-square test; *Significant at 5% probability; **Significant at 1% probability; ^{NS} Non-significant at 5% probability; IDF = insufficient degrees of freedom

The values of Taylor's Power Law's b were greater than the unity, 1.4376 for nymphs and 1.4760 for adults in Area 1, and 1.2977 for nymphs and 1.2504 for adults in Area 2, showing aggregated distribution for both nymphs and adults of *D. citri*, with values of coefficient of determination (R^2) ranging from 0.9580 to 0.9853 (Table 8). Similar results were obtained by Sétamou et al. (2008), where the index obtained was 1.73 for nymphs and 1.38 for adults, and R^2 higher than 0.90.

The fitting of most samplings to the negative binomial distribution is in accordance with the dispersion

indices tested, which had an aggregate distribution of *D. citri* in most samplings, both in area 1 with younger plants, and in area 2 with adult plants. Such distribution was mentioned by Tsai et al. (2000) in orange jasmine plants (*Murraya paniculata*) in southern Florida, USA, and by Sétamou et al. (2008) in grapefruit (*Citrus paradisi* Macfad) and sweet oranges (*Citrus sinensis* (L.) Osbeck) in Texas, USA, when the authors evaluated *D. citri* adults (both authors) and nymphs and eggs (the second author) and observed Taylor's Power Law's b and Iwao's \hat{a} coefficient values higher than one, indicating insect aggregation.

Table 5 – Results obtained by the chi-square test to fit Poisson and negative binomial distributions to data on numbers of *D. citri* adults collected in traps in citrus orchards in area 1 (four years). Matão – SP, Brazil 2005/2006/2007.

Date	Area 1					
	Poisson			Negative binomial		
	X^2	d.f.	p	X^2	d.f.	p
12/12/05	7.28 ^{NS}	4	0.1214	4.91 ^{NS}	5	0.4262
12/27/05	57.59**	6	< 0.0001	16.80*	8	0.0322
01/11/06	44.15**	5	< 0.0001	6.86 ^{NS}	7	0.4432
01/24/06	40.38**	4	< 0.0001	1.91 ^{NS}	5	0.8607
02/08/06	15.48**	3	0.0014	3.93 ^{NS}	5	0.5585
02/21/06	10.81**	2	0.0045	1.46 ^{NS}	3	0.6914
03/14/06	69.58**	5	< 0.0001	8.07 ^{NS}	7	0.3261
03/28/06	93.47**	8	< 0.0001	11.03 ^{NS}	10	0.3548
04/18/06	228.93**	10	< 0.0001	17.58 ^{NS}	15	0.2851
05/18/06	75.13**	4	< 0.0001	5.06 ^{NS}	6	0.5351
06/20/06	20.56**	3	0.0001	2.98 ^{NS}	4	0.5602
07/25/06	62.58**	3	< 0.0001	8.77 ^{NS}	5	0.1186
08/16/06	60.41**	4	< 0.0001	6.60 ^{NS}	6	0.3594
12/06/06	8.48*	2	0.0144	0.48 ^{NS}	3	0.9214
12/21/06	14.70**	3	0.0021	1.82 ^{NS}	4	0.7687
02/13/07	3.20 ^{NS}	1	0.0734	IDF	IDF	IDF
02/28/07	0.80 ^{NS}	1	0.3701	IDF	IDF	IDF
03/27/07	1.98 ^{NS}	1	0.1591	IDF	IDF	IDF
04/17/07	6.83**	1	0.0090	IDF	IDF	IDF
05/22/07	2.04 ^{NS}	2	0.3591	0.19 ^{NS}	2	0.9069
06/19/07	3.70 ^{NS}	2	0.1567	0.06 ^{NS}	1	0.8024
07/18/07	6.18*	1	0.0129	IDF	IDF	IDF
08/20/07	38.31**	3	< 0.0001	6.75 ^{NS}	5	0.2394
09/21/07	68.15**	5	< 0.0001	10.46 ^{NS}	7	0.1636
10/31/07	16.50**	3	0.0009	3.32 ^{NS}	4	0.5053
11/14/07	284.60**	8	< 0.0001	3.68 ^{NS}	12	0.9884
11/28/07	110.52**	8	< 0.0001	19.81 ^{NS}	12	0.0706

X^2 = Chi-square statistic; d.f. = number of degrees of freedom in the chi-square test; p = probability level of the chi-square test; * Significant at 5% probability; ** Significant at 1% probability; ^{NS} Non-significant at 5% probability; IDF = insufficient degrees of freedom.

Table 6 – Results obtained by the chi-square test to fit Poisson and negative binomial distributions to data on numbers of *D. citri* adults collected in traps in citrus orchards in area 2 (12 years). Matão – SP, Brazil 2005/2006/2007.

Date	Area 2					
	Poisson			Negative binomial		
	X^2	d.f.	p	X^2	d.f.	p
12/12/05	24.39**	4	< 0.0001	7.74 ^{NS}	6	0.2573
12/27/05	17.23**	3	0.0006	3.68 ^{NS}	4	0.451
01/11/06	38.08**	4	< 0.0001	10.52 ^{NS}	5	0.0618
01/24/06	120.40**	8	< 0.0001	11.02 ^{NS}	12	0.527
02/08/06	371.02**	10	< 0.0001	16.10 ^{NS}	14	0.3069
02/21/06	144.77**	10	< 0.0001	20.84 ^{NS}	13	0.0761
03/14/06	81.96**	8	< 0.0001	7.49 ^{NS}	10	0.6779
03/28/06	12.34**	3	0.0063	1.11 ^{NS}	3	0.7739
04/18/06	8.90*	3	0.0306	2.07 ^{NS}	4	0.722
06/20/06	4.40*	1	0.0358	0.59 ^{NS}	1	0.439
12/21/06	5.93*	1	0.0149	IDF	IDF	IDF
03/13/07	0.00 ^{NS}	1	0.9448	IDF	IDF	IDF
03/27/07	2.67 ^{NS}	1	0.1019	IDF	IDF	IDF

X^2 = Chi-square statistic; d.f. = number of degrees of freedom in the chi-square test; p = probability level of the chi-square test; * Significant at 5% probability; ** Significant at 1% probability; ^{NS} Non-significant at 5% probability; IDF = insufficient degrees of freedom.

Table 7 – Common k indices for nymphs and adults of *D. citri* in citrus orchards. Matão – SP, Brazil 2005/2006/2007.

Stage of development	k_c	Homogeneity test's		
		X^2	Test F 1/k	Test F intersection
nymphs	0.0736	88.51**	31.09**	0.28 ^{NS}
adults	1.0890	265.98**	51.36**	0.59 ^{NS}

X^2 = Chi-square statistic; *Significant at 5% probability; **Significant at 1% probability; ^{NS}Non-significant at 5% probability.

Table 8 – Taylor's Power Law for the average numbers of nymphs and adults of *D. citri* in citrus orchards. Matão - SP, Brazil 2005/2006/2007.

Stage of development		R^2	Equation	Taylor's Power Law's b
Area 1	nymphs	0.9740	$y = 5.8580 x^{1.4376}$	1.4376
	adults	0.9588	$y = 8.1421 x^{1.4760}$	1.4760
Area 2	nymphs	0.9580	$y = 2.6742 x^{1.2977}$	1.2977
	adults	0.9853	$y = 2.1810 x^{1.2504}$	1.2504

Acknowledgements

To Capes for awarding a doctoral scholarship to the first author. To Marchesan farm for making the experiment area available. To Fundecitrus for providing financial support and help in the conduction of the experiment.

References

- Anscombe, F.J. 1949. The statistical analysis of insect counts based on the negative binomial distributions. *Biometrics* 5: 165-173.
- Barbosa, J.C.; Perecin, D. 1982. Probabilistic models for distributions of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J. E. Smith, 1797), in maize. *Científica* 10: 181-191. (in Portuguese, with abstract in English).
- Bliss, C.I.; Fisher, R.A. 1953. Fitting the negative binomial to biological data, note on the efficient fitting of the negative binomial. *Biometrics* 9: 176-200.
- Bliss, C.I.; Owen, A.R.G. 1958. Negative binomial distributions with a common k . *Biometrika* 45: 37-58.
- Capoor, S.P.; Rao D.G.; Viswanath, S.M. 1967. *Diaphorina citri* Kuway., a vector of the greening disease of citrus in India. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science* 37: 572-576.
- Coletta-Filho, H.D.; Targon, M.L.P.N.; Takita, M.A.; De Negri, J.D.; Pompeu Jr., J.; Machado, M.A. 2004. First report of the causal agent of huanglongbing ("*Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus*") in Brazil. *Plant Disease* 88: 1382.
- Costa, M.G.; Barbosa, J.C.; Yamamoto, P.T. 2006. Probality distribution of *Orthesia praelonga* Douglas (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Orthezidae) in citrus. *Neotropical Entomology* 35: 395-401. (in Portuguese, with abstract in English).
- Davis, P.M. 1993. Statistics for describing populations. p. 33-54 In: Pedigo, L.P.; Buntin, G.D. Handbook of sampling methods for arthropods in agriculture. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA.
- Elliott, J.M. 1979. Some Methods for the Statistical Analysis of Samples of Benthic Invertebrates. Freshwater Biological Association, Ambleside, Cumbria, England.
- Farias, P.R.S.; Barbosa, J.C.; Busoli, A.C. 2001. Spatial distribution of the fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on corn crop. *Neotropical Entomology* 30: 681-689. (in Portuguese, with abstract in English).
- Gallo, D.; Nakano, O.; Silveira Neto, S.; Carvalho, R.P.L.; Batista, G.C. de; Berti Filho, E.; Parra, J.R.P.; Zucchi, R.A.; Alves, S.B.; Vendramim, J.D.; Marchini, L.C.; Lopes, J.R.S.; Omoto, C. 2002. Agricultural Entomology. FEALQ, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil. (in Portuguese).
- Gravena, S. 2005. Practical Handbook of Ecological Management of Citrus Pests. Gravena, Jaboticabal, SP, Brazil. (in Portuguese).
- Green, R.H. 1966. Measurement of non-randomness in spatial distributions. *Researches on Population Ecology* 8: 1-7.
- Halbert, S.E.; Manjunath, K.L. 2004. Asian citrus psyllids (Sternorrhyncha: Psyllidae) and greening disease of citrus: a literature review and assessment of risk in Florida. *Florida Entomologist* 87: 330-353.
- Hall, D.G.; Hentz, M.G.; Ciomperlink, M.A. 2007. A comparison of traps and stem tap sampling for monitoring adult Asian citrus psyllid (Hemiptera: Psyllidae) in citrus. *Florida Entomologist* 90: 327-334.
- Maruyama, W.L.; Barbosa, J.C.; Fernandes, M.G.; Yamamoto, P.T. 2002. Spatial distribution of *Dilobopterus costalimai* Young (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) in citrus área of Taquaritinga, São Paulo State, Brazil. *Neotropical Entomology* 31: 35-40. (in Portuguese, with abstract in English).
- Morisita, M. 1962. I_d -index, a measure of dispersion of individuals. *Researches on Population Ecology* 4: 1-7.
- Perecin, D.; Barbosa, J.C. 1992. Sampling and statistical analysis of discrete contagious distributions. *Revista de Matemática e Estatística* 10: 207-216. (in Portuguese, with abstract in English).
- Perruso, J.C.; Cassino, P.C.R. 1997. Presence-absence sampling plan for *Selenaspidus articulatus* (Morg.) (Homoptera: Diaspididae) on citrus. *Anais da Sociedade Entomológica do Brasil* 26: 321-326. (in Portuguese, with abstract in English).
- Rabinovich, J.E. 1980. Introduction to the ecology of animal populations. Editorial Continental, Ciudad de México, México. (in Spanish).
- Sétamou, M.; Flores, D.; French, J.V.; Hall, D.G. 2008. Dispersion patterns and samplings plans for *Diaphorina citri* (Hemiptera: Psyllidae) in citrus. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 101: 1478-1487.
- Southwood, T.R.E. 1978. Ecological Methods. John Wiley, New York, NY, USA.
- Taylor, L.R. 1961. Aggregation, variance and the mean. *Nature* 189: 732-735.

- Teixeira, D.C.; Ayres, A.J.; Kitajima, E.W.; Tanaka, F.A.O.; Danet, L.; Jagoueix-Eveillard, S.; Saillard, C.; Bové, J.M. 2005a. First report of a huanglongbing-like disease of citrus in São Paulo state, Brazil and association of a new *Liberibacter* species, "*Candidatus Liberibacter americanus*" with the disease. *Plant Disease* 89: 107.
- Teixeira, D.C.; Danet, J.L.; Eveillard, S.; Martins, E.C.; Junior, W.C.J.; Yamamoto, P.T.; Lopes, S.A.; Bassanezi, R.B.; Ayres, A.J.; Saillard, C.; Bové, J.M. 2005b. Citrus huanglongbing in São Paulo state, Brazil: PCR detection of the '*Candidatus*' *Liberibacter* species associated with the disease. *Molecular and Cellular Probes* 19: 173-179.
- Tsai, J.H.; Wang, J.; Liu, Y. 2000. Sampling of *Diaphorina citri* (Homoptera: Psyllidae) on orange Jessamine in southern Florida. *Florida Entomologist* 83: 446-459.
- Yamamoto, P.T.; Paiva, P.E.B.; Gravena, S. 2001. Population dynamics of *Diaphorina citri* Kuwayama (Hemiptera: Psyllidae) in citrus orchards in the North of São Paulo State, Brazil. *Neotropical Entomology* 30: 165-170. (in Portuguese, with abstract in English).

Received January 30, 2009

Accepted April 30, 2010